International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences ISSN: 2319-7706 Volume 4 Number 8 (2015) pp. 430-443 http://www.ijcmas.com



Original Research Article

UV-C Irradiation Effect on Seed Germination, Seedling Growth and Productivity of Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea L.*)

R. Neelamegam* and T. Sutha

P.G. Department of Botany & Research Centre, S.T. Hindu College, Nagercoil -629 002, Tamil Nadu, India *Corresponding author

Keywords Field experiment was carried out by split-plot method to record the effect of UV-C irradiation on seed germination, seedling growth and productivity of groundnut UV-C (Arachis hypogaea L.). The results indicate that UV-C irradiation up to 60min. irradiation, increased the growth parameters of groundnut plant recorded. The UV-C Groundnut. irradiation produces significant increase in seedling vigour and biomass production Arachis as compared to control and other treatments. The results of present study reveals hypogaea, that the UV-C irradiation treatments up to 60 min. has no significant adverse effect Seedling on seed germination, seedling growth and productivity of groundnut plant. growth,

A B S T R A C T

Introduction

Irradiation is a method that given to substances or plants or plant materials with radiation. During irradiation the high energy radiation pass through the matter and cause ionizing or electric or magnetic disturbances that affect the internal structure or matter of plants. During the past few decades, the ozone reduction problem has stimulated considerable research on higher plant responses to UV-radiation (Caldwell and Flint, 1994). When exposed to elevated UVradiation, the higher plants exhibit various physiological and morphological changes (Bjorn, 1996; Greenberg et al., 1997; Rozema et al., 1997; Caldwell et al., 1998) and there is considerable variation among species (Barnes et al., 1990; Day, 1993; Mc Leod and Newsham, 1997) and among varieties within the same species (Ziska et

water soaked seeds on germination and seedling growth and productivity of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) under field condition.

al., 1992; Corlett et al., 1997; Correia et al.,

1998, 1999). Seeds are more susceptible to

radiation when they have high water

content. Presence of oxygen increased the

free radical production (Arvind Kumar and

Purohit, 1998). The role of water content

influencing the effects of physical and

chemical mutagens is well established

(Conger et al., 1968). Water content appears

to involve in facilitate the mobility and

action of free radicals and oxygen with

physical mutagens (Ehrenberg, 1961). The

present study was conducted to observe the

effect of UV-C irradiation treatment on

Materials and Methods

Preparation of field experimental plots

Field experiment was conducted for 90 days (from 28/09/2011 to 26/12/2011) in the Botanical Garden, Dept. of Botany, S. T. Hindu College Nagercoil, to evaluate the effect UV-C irradiation on water pre-soaked groundnut seeds germination growth and productivity.. The experimental field with an area of about 10 x $5 = 50m^2$ was thoroughly cleaned by removing all vegetation and other solid unwanted materials. Then the soil was softened turned down manually and then plots were laid out. The plot size adopted in this experimental design was 150cm length x 100cm breadth x 15cm depth. Between plot 30cm gap was allotted while between rows the gap was 50cm (Fig. 1).

Experimental Design

Split-Plot Design lay out in the experimental field as follows as shown in the Plate-I.

Seed treatment and seed sowing

For field experiment, healthy, dry and uniform size groundnut seeds were presoaked in distilled water for 24 hours and then four sets of seeds were immediately irradiated separately with UV-C at different period of exposure (5, 10, 20, 30 and 60 minutes) in a ST 51 G/W 51UV tube with a wavelength of about 280nm. Dry and water presoaked groundnut seeds not treated with UV-C irradiation were maintained as control. All the treatments were done in 3 replications. Then the seeds of groundnut were sown in the experimental plots (30 seeds/ plot) on 28. 09. 2011. The seed germination and seedling growth of groundnut was observed up to 90 DAS.

Irrigation, Weeding and Thinning

From the time of seed sowing the

experimental plots were irrigated regularly once in a day to maintain the soil moisture at saturated level. Weeding was done at regular intervals (once in 15 days) and maintains the plot free from weeds through out the study. Thinning was done after every sampling day that is on 15th, 30th 60th and 90th day after seed sowing. The number of seedlings maintained in the experimental plots was calculated at the time of sampling day in each treatment (Table 1).

Sampling and data collection

Plant sampling was made on 15th, 30th, 60th and 90th DAS for recording growth parameters of groundnut seedlings (Plate-I). At the time of every sampling five plants were taken from each plot of all treatments including control. The plants were collected randomly from each plot and the roots are washed with running water to remove the soil particles.

The growth of groundnut seedling were observed through out the study period and the growth parameters like number of seedling survival and seedling growth (total length, shoot length, root length); number of branches; leaf growth - (number of leaf, leaf length and breadth; date of flowering, no. of flowers and pods developed; and biomass production - (seedling/ pod fresh weight and dry weight), etc., were recorded at all sampling days (Plate-I). Besides, Seedling Vigour Index was calculated by using the formula (SVI = % seed germination x Total length) proposed by Abdul-Baki and Anderson (1973); Seedling Tolerance Index was calculated by using the formula (STI =*Mean length of the longest root in treatment/* mean length of the longest root is control x 100) proposed by Turner and Marshal (1972); Root/Shoot Ratio, Leaf Area Index calculated by using the following formula (LAI = length x breadth x 0.69) proposed by Kalra and Dhiman (1977), Absolute Growth

Rate was calculated by using the formula $(AGR = W_2 \cdot W_1/t_2 \cdot t_1;$ where, W_1 and W_2 refers to weight of total dry matter at the time t_2 and t_1 , respectively) suggested by Redford (1967); Relative Growth Rate was estimated using the formula (RGR = loge W_2 - $Loge W_1/t_2$ - t_1 ; where, Loge = 2.3026) proposed by Briggs *et al.* (1920) and Net Assimilation Rate were also calculated by the formula ($NAR = Loge L_2$ - $Loge L_1/L_2$ - $L_1 \times W_2$ - W_1/t_2 - t_1 ; where, L_2 and L_1 denote leaf area per plant at the time t_2 and t_1 , respectively) proposed by Gregory (1926).

All the data, collected from experiments, were analysed statistically by calculating mean and standard deviation following standard methods. The significance level was analyzed by using one-way ANOVA computerized software (AGDATA & AGRES) developed by TNAU, Madurai in Tamil Nadu.

Results and Discussion

UV-C irradiation treatment on groundnut promoted seeds generally the seed germination. The increasing duration of UV-C irradiation (up to 60min) increased the promotery effect on the seed germination of groundnut as compared to dry and soaked seed control (Table Maximum 1). germination of 83.33% was recorded at 60 min UV-C irradiation treatment, while it was low (63.33%) at 5 min UV-C treatment. UV-C irradiation treated groundnut seeds generally increased the seedling (root and shoot) growth at all exposure periods of UV-C irradiation as compared to dry and soaked seed control at all sampling days (Table 2-4; Fig. 1 & 2). The increasing exposure period of UV-C irradiation gradually increased the groundnut seedling (root and shoot) growth. The seedling root and shoot growth of groundnut was more in UV-C irradiated water soaked seeds as compared to dry groundnut seeds (Fig. 1 & 2). When

compared to root growth, the increase of shoot growth was more at all sampling days and the root/shoot ratio of groundnut seedling was decreased with increasing age (Table 2-4; Fig. 3). The total seedlings (root & shoot length) growth of groundnut was general more in seeds treated with UV-C irradiation than controls (Table 2-4; Fig. 4). UV-C irradiation increased the number of branches (Fig. 5) and number of leaves (Fig. 7) in groundnut seedling at all sampling compared to control, while days as decreased the root nodules in 60th day samples and increased in 30th and 90th day samples (Table 2–4; Fig. 6).

UV-C irradiation seed treatment shows no significant effect on leaflet length, leaflet breadth and leaflet area of groundnut seedling as compared to control at all sampling days (Fig. 9 to 11). The total leaf area per plant was increased by UV-C irradiation seed treatment as compared to controls at all sampling days (Fig. 12).

The number of flowers produced per plant was increased at low exposure period of UV-C irradiation (5min) in 30th day sample followed by a reduction with further increasing period of exposure as compared to control (Fig. 8), while it was increased up to 20min exposure of UV-C irradiation followed by decrease in 90th day sample. In 60th day sample, the flower production increased at all treatments (Fig. 8) than the controls.

In 60th day sample, the number of pedicels without pod was less in UV-C treatments (Fig. 13), while it was more in 90th day samples as compared to controls. UV-C irradiation seed treatment generally increased the mature and immature pods in both sampling days and it was increased with increasing period of UV-C radiation (Fig. 14–16). The dry weight of 100 pods with seeds was maximum (98gm) in 10min and 20 min UV-C exposures (Table 3) as compared to controls and other UV-C treatments. Similarly, UV-C irradiation seed treatment shows maximum dry weight of 100 seeds (41gm) in 10min UV-C exposure than all other treatments including control (Table 3).

Seedling fresh root, shoot and pod biomass of groundnut was generally increased at 30th and 90th day samples with increasing period of UV-C exposure (Fig. 17–20) as compared controls. But in 60th day sample, the biomass production was reduced with increasing UV-C exposure than controls. UV-C irradiation seed treatments increased the root and shoot dry weight of groundnut in 30th day samples than controls. But, in 60th and 90th day samples, the root dry biomass of groundnut seedling was increased initially (5min UV-C irradiation) followed by a reduction with increasing period of UV-C exposure than control (Fig. 21). On the other hand the shoot dry weight of groundnut seedling was increased with increase period of UV-C exposure in 90th day sample, while it was decreased in 30th day sample than control (Fig. 22). UV-C irradiation seed treatment increased pod dry weight initially followed by reduction in both sampling days as compared to controls (Fig. 23). Similar trend was also noted in the total dry biomass production of groundnut seedling (Fig. 24).

Table.1 Number of seedlings stand at the time of sampling (DAS)

Treatment	Diat Na	Number of seedling stand on							
I reatment	Plot No	10^{th}DAS	30 th DAS	60 th DAS	90 th DAS				
T_1 –Control- dry seed	1	26	10	7	4				
	2	22	10	7	4				
	3	16	10	7	4				
T_2 – Soaked Seed (SS)	4	18	10	7	4				
	5	23	10	7	4				
	6	17	10	7	4				
T_3 –SS+ UV-C '5min'	7	17	10	7	4				
	8	22	10	7	4				
	9	18	10	7	4				
T_4 –SS+ UV-C '10min'	10	19	10	7	4				
	11	18	10	7	4				
	12	19	10	7	4				
$T_5 - SS + UV-C$ '20min'	13	22	10	7	4				
	14	20	10	7	4				
	15	21	10	7	4				
$T_6 - SS + UV - C$ '30min'	16	17	10	7	4				
	17	19	10	7	4				
	18	26	10	7	4				
$T_7 - SS + UV - C$ '60min'	19	25	10	7	4				
	20	20	10	7	4				
	21	30	10	7	4				

Seedling growth parameters	Treatments						CD	F-	
	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅	T ₆	T ₇	(P=0.05)	value@
1. Root Length (cm/pl)	9.11	9.28	9.33	9.89	10.01	10.45	10.67	3.39	NS
	±0.91	±1.44	±1.15	±1.84	±1.33	±1.39	± 2.08		
2. Shoot length (cm/pl)	12.67	12.84	13.11	13.22	13.45	13.55	15.67	2.7	NS
	±2.17	±0.87	±2.45	±0.75	±0.98	±0.85	±0.33		
3. Total length (cm/pl)	21.78	22.12	22.45	23.12	23.46	23.99	26.34	5.03	NS
	± 3.02	± 2.27	±3.59	±1.58	± 3.72	± 2.03	± 2.33		
4. Root/shoot ratio	0.73	0.72	0.72	0.75	0.74	0.77	0.68	0.23	NS
	± 0.07	±0.06	±0.05	±0.17	±0.23	± 0.07	± 0.12		
5. No of branches/pl	4.56	4.89	4.67	5.00	4.89	5.13	5.23	0.98	NS
	±0.83	±0.39	±0.67	±0.33	±0.39	±0.40	± 0.51		
6. No. of root nodules/pl	41.33	43.33	49.33	43.67	38.33	35.00	33.67	14.68	NS
	±3.79	±8.09	± 4.04	±6.66	±7.77	± 10.44	± 13.01		
7. Number of leaves/pl	14.00	14.45	16.23	17.11	17.11	17.45	21.00	7.11	NS
	±2.73	±4.91	±2.59	±4.48	±5.35	±4.54	±1.20		
8. Leaflet length (cm/leaf)	5.29	5.49	5.58	5.58	5.41	5.15	5.05	1.75	NS
	±0.33	±0.29	±.28	±0.23	±0.33	±0.59	±0.45		
9. Leaflet breadth (cm/leaf)	2.85	2.87	2.91	2.81	2.69	2.64	2.54	0.5	NS
	±0.10	±0.14	±0.41	±0.12	±0.08	± 0.10	± 0.50		
10. Leaflet area index	10.41	10.81	11.15	10.81	10.05	9.37	8.82	3.57	NS
	±0.94	± 1.08	±4.43	±0.91	±0.34	±1.25	±1.23		
11. Leaf area index (cm ² /pl)	586.00	642.00	750.00	736.00	686.00	663.00	738.00	450.68	NS
	±138	±282	±396	±182	±204	±229	±73		
12. Seedling vigour index	1316.00	1342.00	1433.00	1521.00	1635.00	1721.00	2171.00	438.35	*
	±154	±251	±365	±253	±199	±219	±272		
13. Seedling tolerance index	1.00	1.03	1.04	1.09	1.12	1.16	1.19	0.39	NS
	±0.00	± 0.20	±0.22	±0.23	±0.45	±0.25	±0.29		
14. Root fresh weight (g/pl)	0.84	0.87	0.87	0.89	0.93	0.95	0.99	0.33	NS
	±0.20	± 0.05	± 0.28	±0.03	± 0.32	±0.14	±0.31		
15. Shoot fresh weight (g/pl)	13.49	14.81	15.29	15.33	15.57	15.84	19.02	5.32	NS
	±2.51	±4.26	±1.27	±1.83	±4.10	±1.67	±2.39		
16. Total fresh weight (g/pl)	14.34	15.69	16.17	16.21	16.50	16.78	20.00	5.33	NS
	±2.31	±4.26	±1.03	±1.80	±4.13	±1.60	± 2.70		
17. Root dry weight (g/pl)	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.06	NS
	±0.04	± 0.02	±0.06	±0.02	±0.01	± 0.02	± 0.02		
18. Shoot dry weight (g/pl)	1.36	1.40	1.47	1.52	1.54	1.57	1.69	0.52	NS
	±0.19	±0.17	±0.17	±0.18	±0.40	±0.36	± 0.52		
19. Total dry weight (g/pl)	1.43	1.47	1.55	1.60	1.62	1.66	1.78	0.52	NS
	±0.18	±0.15	±0.22	±0.20	±0.41	±0.36	± 0.50		
20. No of flowers/pl	8.67	9.33	10.00	8.67	8.67	8.00	7.00	5.22	NS
	±3.79	± 2.08	±4.58	±0.58	±4.04	±4.36	±2.64		
21. Seed germination (%)#	54.44	61.11	63.33	65.55	69.99	72.22	83.33	20.42	NS
	± 18.36	±13.88	± 8.82	±6.94	±3.34	± 12.61	±16.67		

Table.2 Effect of UV-C irradiation seed treatment on seedling growth (30 DAS) of groundnut

[#]Seed germination was calculated on 10th day after sowing; Values are mean of three replications; ± -Standard Deviation @ -One way ANOVA *-Significance at 5% level NS –Non-significance

T ₁ T ₃ T ₄ T ₅ T ₆ T ₇ (P=0.05) value 1. Root Length (cm/pl) 14.50 14.89 15.00 15.00 15.01 15.51 17.95 3.79 NS 2. Shool length (cm/pl) 38.34 40.78 40.84 41.00 41.78 43.34 44.89 81.00 NS 3. Total length (cm/pl) 52.84 55.67 55.84 56.01 57.12 58.84 62.24 8.83 NS 4. Root/shoot ratio 0.38 0.37 0.37 0.37 0.37 0.36 0.41 0.12 NS 5. No of branches/pl 4.22 4.66 4.67 4.85 4.94 4.89 5.78 1.57 NS 6. No of root nodules/pl 40.67 40.99 37.89 38.22 45.34 50.01 57.45 18.90 NS 6. No of oot nodules/pl 54.11 63.12 67.34 69.11 71.33 71.66 6.57 NS 1.10 2.02 </th <th>Saadling growth nanomatons</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Т</th> <th>reatmen</th> <th>ts</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>CD</th> <th>F-</th>	Saadling growth nanomatons			Т	reatmen	ts			CD	F-
1. Root Length (cm/pl) 14.50 14.89 15.00 15.00 15.01 17.95 3.79 NS 2. Shoot length (cm/pl) 38.34 40.78 40.84 41.00 41.78 43.34 44.89 8.10 NS 3. Total length (cm/pl) 52.84 55.67 55.84 66.01 57.12 58.84 62.01 44.89 8.03 NS 4. Root/shoot ratio 0.38 0.38 0.37 0.37 0.36 0.41 0.12 NS 5. No of branches/pl 4.22 4.56 4.67 4.85 4.94 4.89 5.78 1.57 NS 6. No. of root nodules/pl 40.67 40.99 37.89 38.22 45.44 51.17.45 18.90 NS 7. Number of leaves/pl 54.11 63.12 67.34 69.11 71.33 72.34 90.56 6.57 NS 10.18 ±10.18 ±1.04 ±7.02 ±1.02 ±0.22 4.022 4.022 4.022 4.022 4.022 4.022 4.022 4.022 4.022 4.022 4.022 4.022	Seeding growth parameters	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅	T ₆	T_7	(P=0.05)	value@
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1. Root Length (cm/pl)	14.50	14.89	15.00	15.00	15.34	15.51	17.95	3.79	NS
2. Shoot length (cm/pl) 38.34 40.78 40.84 41.00 41.78 43.34 44.89 8.10 NS 3. Total length (cm/pl) 52.84 55.7 55.84 65.01 57.12 85.84 62.84 85.83 NS 4. Root/shoot ratio 0.38 0.37 0.37 0.36 0.41 0.12 NS 5. No of branches/pl 4.22 4.56 4.67 4.88 4.94 4.89 5.78 1.57 NS 6. No. of root nodules/pl 40.67 40.99 37.89 38.22 45.34 50.11 57.45 18.90 NS 7. Number of leaves/pl 45.11 6.46 6.12 6.31 6.54 6.65 6.61 6.19 0.43 NS ± 1.07 ± 0.25 ± 0.27 ± 0.20 ± 0.16 ± 0.27 ± 0.20 ± 0.26 ± 0.27 ± 0.29 $= 0.24$ ± 0.22 $= 0.24$ ± 0.22 $= 0.29$ $= 0.24$ ± 0.22 $= 0.24$ $= 0.22$ $= 0.27$ $= 0.21$ $= 0.27$ $= 0.21$ $= 0.29$ $= 0.29$		±0.60	±1.35	±1.32	±2.33	±1.30	±2.02	±3.52		
	2. Shoot length (cm/pl)	38.34	40.78	40.84	41.00	41.78	43.34	44.89	8.10	NS
3. Total length (cm/pl) 52.84 55.67 55.84 56.01 57.12 58.84 62.84 8.83 NS ± 5.96 ± 7.91 ± 7.22 ± 6.33 ± 3.81 ± 6.20 ± 7.04 \pm		±5.42	±9.06	±6.05	±5.03	±3.59	±5.45	±6.36		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	3. Total length (cm/pl)	52.84	55.67	55.84	56.01	57.12	58.84	62.84	8.83	NS
4. Root/shoot ratio 0.38 0.37 0.37 0.37 0.36 0.41 0.12 NS 5. No of branches/pl 4.22 4.64 4.07 4.88 4.94 4.89 5.78 1.57 NS 6. No. of root nodules/pl 40.67 40.99 37.89 38.22 45.34 50.11 57.45 11.67 NS 6. No. of root nodules/pl 46.43 46.73 47.40 49.09 54.64 17.72 11.67 NS 7. Number of leaves/pl 54.11 63.12 67.34 69.11 71.33 72.34 90.56 6.57 NS 8. Leaflet length (cm/leaflet) 5.16 6.55 6.54 6.65 6.61 6.99 6.57 NS 9. Leaflet breadth (cm/leaflet) 3.16 3.09 3.26 3.20 3.09 3.09 3.04 0.49 NS 11. Leaf area index (cm ² /pl) 265.90 288.00 368.00 401.00 421.00 421.04 41.13 13.04 2.39 NS 12. Seedling vigour index 1360 3.340.00 368.00 308.0		±5.96	±7.91	±7.22	±6.33	±3.51	±6.20	±7.04		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	4. Root/shoot ratio	0.38	0.38	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.36	0.41	0.12	NS
5. No of branches/pl 4.22 4.56 4.67 4.85 4.94 4.89 5.78 1.57 NS 6. No. of root nodules/pl 40.67 40.99 37.89 38.22 45.34 50.11 57.45 18.90 NS 5. Number of leaves/pl 54.11 65.12 67.34 69.11 71.33 72.34 90.56 6.57 NS 8. Leaflet length (cm/leaflet) 6.46 6.50 6.51 6.54 6.65 6.61 6.19 0.43 NS ± 0.25 ± 0.27 ± 0.20 ± 0.16 ± 0.24 ± 0.22 ± 0.27 ± 0.18 ± 0.13 ± 0.05 0.49 NS ± 0.65 ± 0.27 ± 0.18 ± 0.06 ± 1.14 ± 1.33 ± 4.66 14.43 14.04 13.40 2.39 NS 10. Leaflet Area Index 12.02 13.87 14.66 14.43 14.03 13.04 2.39 NS 11. Leaf area index (cm ² /pl) 2659.00 289.00 356.00 401.00 428.10 921.14 $= 1.03$ $= 1.03$		±0.04	±0.12	±0.03	±0.05	±0.05	±0.05	±0.09		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	5. No of branches/pl	4.22	4.56	4.67	4.85	4.94	4.89	5.78	1.57	NS
6. No. of root nodules/pl 40.67 40.99 37.89 38.22 45.34 50.11 57.45 18.90 NS ± 6.43 ± 6.73 ± 7.40 ± 9.09 ± 5.46 ± 17.29 ± 11.67 7. Number of leaves/pl ± 5.11 ± 43.66 ± 18.41 ± 7.13 ± 15.64 ± 21.73 8. Leaflet length (cm/leaflet) 6.46 6.50 6.51 6.54 6.66 6.61 ± 0.27 ± 10.18 ± 0.09 ± 0.14 ± 0.03 0.49 NS ± 0.65 ± 0.27 ± 1.08 ± 0.06 ± 1.14 ± 1.13 $\pm 3.0.0$ $3.0.0$ </td <td>•</td> <td>±1.07</td> <td>± 0.84</td> <td>±0.89</td> <td>±1.03</td> <td>±1.10</td> <td>±0.39</td> <td>±1.02</td> <td></td> <td></td>	•	±1.07	± 0.84	±0.89	±1.03	±1.10	±0.39	±1.02		
± 6.43 ± 6.73 ± 7.40 ± 9.09 ± 5.46 ± 17.29 ± 11.67 (b)7. Number of leaves/pl 54.11 63.12 67.34 69.11 71.33 72.34 90.56 6.57 $8.$ 8. Leaflet length (cm/leaflet) 6.46 6.50 6.51 6.54 6.66 6.61 6.19 0.43 NS ± 0.25 ± 0.27 ± 0.02 ± 0.16 ± 0.24 ± 0.22 ± 0.29 ± 0.24 ± 0.22 ± 0.29 ± 0.14 9. Leaflet hreadth (cm/leaflet) 3.16 3.09 3.26 3.20 3.09 3.09 3.05 0.49 NS ± 2.05 ± 0.27 ± 0.18 ± 0.09 ± 11.4 ± 10.3 ± 0.09 ± 0.14 ± 0.13 ± 0.09 NS ± 2.35 ± 0.95 ± 1.08 ± 16.66 ± 11.4 ± 1.03 ± 0.07 ± 1.08 ± 1.03 ± 1.03 ± 1.08 ± 1.08 11. Leaf area index (cm ² /pl) 2659.00 2859.00 586.00 610.10 473.00 $99.0.41$ *12. Seedling vigour index 318.60 350.00 358.00 410.00 4281.00 521.100 $99.0.41$ *13. Seedling tolerance index 1.00 1.03 1.03 1.06 1.07 1.25 0.27 NS ± 1.04 ± 1.64 ± 1.54 ± 1.64 </td <td>6. No. of root nodules/pl</td> <td>40.67</td> <td>40.99</td> <td>37.89</td> <td>38.22</td> <td>45.34</td> <td>50.11</td> <td>57.45</td> <td>18.90</td> <td>NS</td>	6. No. of root nodules/pl	40.67	40.99	37.89	38.22	45.34	50.11	57.45	18.90	NS
7. Number of leaves/pl 54.11 63.12 67.34 69.11 71.33 72.34 90.56 6.57 NS 8. Leaflet length (cm/leaflet) 6.46 6.50 6.51 6.54 40.22 ± 0.20 ± 11.64 ± 71.33 ± 15.64 ± 21.73 9. Leaflet breadth (cm/leaflet) 3.16 3.09 3.26 3.20 3.09 3.05 0.49 NS ± 0.65 ± 0.27 ± 0.18 ± 0.09 ± 0.14 ± 0.13 ± 0.09 ± 0.01 ± 0.03 ± 0.09 ± 0.14 ± 0.03 ± 0.09 ± 0.14 ± 0.03 ± 0.09 ± 0.14 ± 0.03 ± 0.09 ± 1.14 ± 1.03 ± 0.09 ± 1.04 ± 1.03 ± 0.09 ± 1.14 ± 1.03 ± 0.09 ± 1.14 ± 1.03 ± 0.09 ± 1.14 ± 1.03 ± 1.04 ± 1.34 ± 1.03 ± 1.04 ± 1.34 ± 1.03 ± 1.34 ± 1.03 ± 1.34 </td <td>r</td> <td>±6.43</td> <td>±6.73</td> <td>± 7.40</td> <td>±9.09</td> <td>±5.46</td> <td>±17.29</td> <td>± 11.67</td> <td></td> <td></td>	r	±6.43	±6.73	± 7.40	±9.09	±5.46	±17.29	± 11.67		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	7. Number of leaves/pl	54.11	63.12	67.34	69.11	71.33	72.34	90.56	6.57	NS
8. Leaflet length (cm/leaflet) 6.46 6.50 6.51 6.54 6.65 6.61 6.19 0.43 NS 9. Leaflet breadth (cm/leaflet) 3.16 3.09 3.26 3.20 3.09 3.09 3.05 0.49 NS ± 0.055 ± 0.055 ± 0.16 ± 0.22 ± 0.22 ± 0.29 NS ± 0.055 ± 0.18 ± 0.09 ± 0.14 ± 1.04 ± 1.05 ± 1.06 ± 1.04 ± 1.04 ± 0.06 ± 0.11 ± 0.06 ± 0.11 ± 0.06 ± 0.13 ± 0.29 ± 0.16 ± 0.103 <t< td=""><td></td><td>±10.18</td><td>±15.31</td><td>+4.36</td><td>±18.41</td><td>+7.13</td><td>±15.64</td><td>+21.73</td><td></td><td>1.00</td></t<>		±10.18	±15.31	+4.36	±18.41	+7.13	±15.64	+21.73		1.00
$\begin{array}{c} 10.1 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	8 Leaflet length (cm/leaflet)	6 46	6 50	6 51	6 54	6 65	6.61	6 19	0.43	NS
9. Leaflet breadth (cm/leaflet) 3.16 3.02 3.26 3.20 3.09 3.05 0.49 NS 10. Leaflet Area Index 12.02 13.87 14.66 14.43 14.24 14.13 13.04 2.39 NS 11. Leaflet Area Index 12.02 13.87 14.66 14.43 14.24 14.13 13.04 2.39 NS 11. Leaf area index (cm²/pl) 2655.00 2889.00 3566.00 4021.00 4084.00 4113.00 4723.00 1951.08 NS ±981 ±1446 ±738 ±1249 ±738 ±1063 ±1234 143.00 4723.00 1951.08 NS ±0.01 1.03 1.03 1.06 1.07 1.25 0.27 NS ±171 ±405 ±361 ±667 ±384 ±1060 ±0.13 ±0.29 14.10 1.15 ±1.64 ±3.64 ±0.94 ±0.13 ±0.64 ±1.83 ±1.64 ±1.83 ±1.64 ±1.83 ±1.64 ±1.83 ±1.64 ±1.83 ±1.64 ±1.83 ±2.22 2.56± ±1.83 ±1.83		+0.25	+0.27	+0.20	+0.16	+0.24	+0.22	+0.29	0110	110
$\begin{array}{c} 1.1 \text{ brind brind (normality)} \\ (10.1 brind brin$	9 Leaflet breadth (cm/leaflet)	3 16	3.09	3.26	3 20	3.09	3.09	3.05	0.49	NS
10. Leaflet Area Index 12.02 13.87 14.66 14.43 14.43 14.43 14.43 14.44 14.13 13.04 2.39 NS 11. Leaflet Area Index (cm²/pl) 2659.00 2889.00 3566.00 4021.00 4084.00 4113.00 4723.00 1951.08 NS ± 981 ± 1446 ± 738 ± 1249 ± 738 ± 1063 ± 1234 ± 0.00 421.00 4084.00 4113.00 4723.00 1951.08 NS ± 171 ± 4465 ± 361 ± 667 ± 384 ± 1078 ± 1060 ± 113 ± 0.00 ± 0.11 ± 0.06 ± 0.14 ± 0.16 ± 0.18 ± 1.63 ± 1.23 ± 0.53 ± 0.55 <td>2. Leanet breadth (chi/leanet)</td> <td>+0.65</td> <td>+0.27</td> <td>+0.18</td> <td>+0.00</td> <td>+0.14</td> <td>+0.13</td> <td>+0.00</td> <td>0.42</td> <td>115</td>	2. Leanet breadth (chi/leanet)	+0.65	+0.27	+0.18	+0.00	+0.14	+0.13	+0.00	0.42	115
12.05 10.36 14.36 14.45 14.15 10.46 2.05 10.86 14.16 11.10 2.05 10.97 11.14 11.10 10.97 10.97 11. Leaf area index (cm ² /pl) 2659.00 2889.00 3566.00 4021.00 4084.00 4113.00 4723.00 1951.08 NS 12. Seedling vigour index 3186.00 3340.00 3508.00 3685.00 4010.00 4281.00 5211.00 990.41 *** 13. Seedling tolerance index 1.00 1.03 1.03 1.06 1.07 1.25 0.27 NS ±0.00 ±0.11 ±0.06 ±0.14 ±0.06 ±0.13 ±0.29 1.14 11 5.11 1.93 NS ±1.8 ±0.00 ±0.11 ±0.06 ±0.14 ±0.06 ±0.13 ±0.29 1.83 ±1.83 ±1.83 1.23 NS ±1.83 ±0.29 1.83 ±1.83 ±1.83 ±1.83 ±1.83 ±1.83 ±1.83 ±1.83 ±1.83 ±1.83 ±1.83 ±1.83 ±1.83 ±1.83 ±1.83 ±1.83 ±1.83	10 Leaflet Area Index	12.02	13.97	14.66	14.43	14.24	14.13	13.04	2 30	NS
11. Leaf area index (cm ² /pl) 2659.00 288.000 356.000 4021.00 4084.00 4113.00 4723.00 1951.08 NS 12. Seedling vigour index 3186.00 3340.00 3508.00 3685.00 4010.00 4281.00 5211.00 990.41 *** 13. Seedling tolerance index 1.00 1.03 1.03 1.03 1.06 ±0.17 ±.25 0.27 NS 40.00 ±0.11 ±0.06 ±0.14 ±0.06 ±0.13 ±0.29 1.25 0.27 NS 41.No of flowers/pl 3.34 3.56 3.76 3.89 4.11 4.11 5.11 1.93 NS ±0.08 ±1.64 ±1.35 ±0.69 ±0.19 ±0.83 ±1.83 1.03	io. Ecanet Area mucx	+2.35	+0.05	+1.00	+0.60	+1 14	+1.03	+0.07	2.39	115
11. Each area index (cm /pi) 2435.00 2435.00 3235.00 340.100 4036.00 410.00 4281.00 521.00 990.41 *** 12. Seedling vigour index 3186.00 3340.00 3508.00 3685.00 4010.00 4281.00 5211.00 990.41 *** 13. Seedling tolerance index 1.00 1.03 1.03 1.03 1.06 ±0.13 ±0.29 14. No of flowers/pl 3.34 3.56 3.76 3.89 4.11 4.11 5.11 1.93 NS ±0.08 ±1.64 ±1.35 ±0.69 ±0.19 ±0.83 ±1.83 15. No of immature pods/pl 9.34 12.01 12.12 10.67 8.56 7.89 7.45 6.23 NS ±4.63 ±6.53 ±2.99 ±2.83 ±5.48 ±3.33 ±7.08 16. No. mature pods/pl 16.12 16.45 16.89 17.56 17.67 18.33 21.56 9.53 NS ±4.53 ±6.54 ±2.91 22.35 26.45 26.23 25.67	11. Let \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{f} index $(2\pi)^2/(2\pi)$	2650.00	2880.00	±1.00	±0.09	±1.14	±1.03	±0.97	1051.09	NS
12. Seedling vigour index3186.003340.003588.004010.004281.005211.00990.41***12. Seedling vigour index1.001.031.031.031.061.071.250.27NS±0.00±0.11±0.06±0.14±0.06±0.13±0.29***14. No of flowers/pl3.343.563.763.894.114.115.111.93NS±0.00±0.11±0.06±0.13±0.29***************14. No of flowers/pl3.343.563.763.894.114.115.111.93NS±0.08±1.05±1.06±0.13±0.29±1.83±1.83******15. No of immature pods/pl9.3412.0112.1210.678.567.897.456.23NS±3.60±1.15±4.67±4.98±1.83±2.222.50±******16. No. mature pods/pl16.1216.4516.8917.5617.6718.3321.569.53NS±4.53±6.53±2.99±2.83±5.48±3.53±7.08*********17. Total No. of pods/pl25.4628.4629.0129.3526.4526.2325.678.89NS±3.07±2.83±1.19±3.36±3.61±3.02±2.88*************************************** <td>11. Leaf area index (cm /pl)</td> <td>±091</td> <td>±1446</td> <td>±729</td> <td>4021.00</td> <td>4084.00</td> <td>4115.00</td> <td>4/23.00</td> <td>1951.08</td> <td>INB</td>	11. Leaf area index (cm /pl)	±091	±1446	±729	4021.00	4084.00	4115.00	4/23.00	1951.08	INB
12. Seeding vigour index 3130.00 350.00 530.00 530.00 500.00 4201.00	12 Soodling vigoun inder	±901	±1440	2509.00	±1249	±/38	±1003	±1234	000 41	**
13. Seedling tolerance index 1.00 1.03 1.03 1.03 1.03 1.06 1.07 1.25 0.27 NS 14. No of flowers/pl 3.34 3.56 3.76 3.89 4.11 4.11 5.11 1.93 NS 14. No of flowers/pl 3.34 3.56 3.76 3.89 4.11 4.11 5.11 1.93 NS 15. No of immature pods/pl 9.34 12.01 12.12 10.67 8.56 7.89 7.45 6.23 NS 16. No. mature pods/pl 16.12 16.45 16.89 17.56 17.67 18.33 21.56 9.53 NS 17. Total No. of pods/pl 25.46 28.46 29.01 29.35 26.45 26.23 25.67 8.89 NS 18. No. of pedicels without 10.89 12.78 12.11 7.23 5.84 5.78 7.27 NS 19. Root fresh weight (g/pl) 2.08 2.17 2.54 2.28 2.18 2.10 2.04 0.67 NS 20. Shoot fresh weight (g/pl) 115.78 122.11 123.78	12. Seeding vigour index	5180.00	3340.00	3508.00	3085.00	4010.00	4281.00	5211.00	990.41	~~
13. Seeding tolerance index 1.00 1.03 1.03 1.03 1.04 1.06 1.07 1.25 0.27 N is the image of the i		±1/1	±405	±361	±00/	±384	±10/8	±1060	0.25	NG
± 0.00 ± 0.11 ± 0.06 ± 0.14 ± 0.06 ± 0.13 ± 0.29 14. No of flowers/pl3.343.563.763.894.114.115.111.93NS ± 0.88 ± 1.64 ± 1.35 ± 0.67 8.567.897.456.23NS ± 0.83 ± 1.64 ± 1.212 10.678.567.897.456.23NS ± 3.60 ± 1.15 ± 4.67 ± 4.98 ± 1.83 ± 2.22 2.50 \pm 16.1216. No. mature pods/pl16.1216.4516.8917.5617.6718.3321.569.53NS ± 4.53 ± 6.53 ± 2.99 ± 2.83 ± 5.48 ± 3.53 ± 7.08 16.1216.4516.8917.5617.6718.3321.569.53NS ± 1.7 total No. of pods/pl25.4628.4629.0129.3526.4526.2325.678.89NS ± 3.329 ± 7.60 ± 7.31 ± 2.32 ± 2.03 ± 5.78 5.787.27NS ± 3.07 ± 2.83 ± 1.19 ± 3.66 ± 3.61 ± 3.02 ± 2.88 16.8910.67NS ± 3.07 ± 2.83 ± 1.19 ± 3.65 ± 0.65 ± 0.06 ± 0.45 16.1416.1218. No. of pedicels without10.8912.7812.117.235.845.785.787.27NS ± 3.07 ± 2.69 ± 1.78 ± 1.64 ± 0.52 ± 0.55 ± 0	13. Seedling tolerance index	1.00	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.06	1.07	1.25	0.27	NS
14. No of Howers/p1 3.34 3.56 3.76 3.89 4.11 4.11 5.11 1.93 NS ± 0.88 ± 1.64 ± 1.35 ± 0.69 ± 0.19 ± 0.83 ± 1.83 15. No of immature pods/p1 9.34 12.01 12.12 10.67 8.56 7.89 7.45 6.23 NS 16. No. mature pods/p1 16.45 16.89 17.56 17.67 18.33 21.56 9.53 NS ± 4.53 ± 6.53 ± 2.99 ± 2.83 ± 5.48 ± 3.53 ± 7.60 ± 7.31 ± 2.32 ± 2.03 ± 5.75 ± 2.64 17. Total No. of pods/p1 25.46 28.46 29.01 29.35 26.45 26.23 25.67 8.89 NS ± 33.29 ± 7.60 ± 7.31 ± 2.32 ± 2.03 ± 5.78 5.78 7.27 NS ± 3.07 ± 2.83 ± 1.19 ± 3.36 ± 3.61 ± 3.02 ± 2.88 10 0.67 NS ± 0.653 ± 0.55 ± 0.66 $\pm 0.$		±0.00	±0.11	±0.06	±0.14	±0.06	±0.13	±0.29	1.02	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	14. No of flowers/pl	3.34	3.56	3.76	3.89	4.11	4.11	5.11	1.93	NS
15. No of immature pods/pl 9.34 12.01 12.12 10.67 8.56 7.89 7.45 6.23 N8 ± 3.60 ± 1.15 ± 4.67 ± 4.98 ± 1.83 ± 2.22 2.50 \pm 16.00 16. No. mature pods/pl 16.12 16.45 16.89 17.56 17.67 18.33 21.56 9.53 N8 17. Total No. of pods/pl 25.46 28.46 29.01 29.35 26.45 26.23 25.67 8.89 N8 18. No. of pedicels without 10.89 12.78 12.11 7.23 5.84 5.78 5.78 7.27 N8 ±3.07 ± 2.83 ± 1.19 ± 3.36 ± 3.61 ± 3.02 ± 2.88 19 Root fresh weight (g/pl) 2.08 2.17 2.54 2.28 2.10 2.04 0.67 N8 ± 0.53 ± 0.01 ± 0.34 ± 0.28 ± 0.55 ± 0.06 ± 0.45 103.00 51.30 N8 19. Root fresh weight (g/pl) 115.78 122.11 123.78 108.89 106.89 103.89 103.00		±0.88	±1.64	±1.35	±0.69	±0.19	±0.83	±1.83		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	15. No of immature pods/pl	9.34	12.01	12.12	10.67	8.56	7.89	7.45	6.23	NS
16. No. mature pods/pl 16.12 16.45 16.89 17.56 17.67 18.33 21.56 9.53 N8 ± 4.53 ± 6.53 ± 2.99 ± 2.83 ± 5.48 ± 3.53 ± 7.08 17.56 17.67 18.33 21.56 9.53 N8 17. Total No. of pods/pl 25.46 28.46 29.01 29.35 26.45 26.23 25.67 8.89 N8 ± 33.29 ± 7.60 ± 7.31 ± 2.32 ± 2.03 ± 5.75 ± 2.64 18 No. of pedicels without 10.89 12.78 12.11 7.23 5.84 5.78 5.78 7.27 N8 19. Root fresh weight (g/pl) 2.08 2.17 2.54 2.28 2.18 2.10 2.04 0.67 N8 ± 0.53 ± 0.01 ± 0.34 ± 0.28 ± 0.55 ± 0.06 ± 0.45 ± 1.65 ± 31.87 ± 50.16 ± 17.35 ± 2.89 13.00 51.30 N8 20. Shoot fresh weight (g/pl) 0.80 0.89 1.03 0.92 0.91 0.80 0.44		±3.60	±1.15	±4.67	±4.98	±1.83	±2.22	2.50±		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	16. No. mature pods/pl	16.12	16.45	16.89	17.56	17.67	18.33	21.56	9.53	NS
17. Total No. of pods/pl 25.46 28.46 29.01 29.35 26.45 26.23 25.67 8.89 NS ± 33.29 ± 7.60 ± 7.31 ± 2.32 ± 2.03 ± 5.75 ± 2.64 10.89 12.78 12.11 7.23 5.84 5.78 7.27 NS ± 3.07 ± 2.83 ± 1.19 ± 3.36 ± 3.61 ± 3.02 ± 2.88 11.9 ± 3.36 ± 3.02 ± 2.88 12.04 0.67 NS ± 0.53 ± 0.01 ± 0.34 ± 0.28 ± 1.19 ± 3.36 ± 3.00 ± 2.88 10.64 ± 0.455 ± 0.06 ± 0.455 ± 1.30 ± 2.07 ± 1.30 ± 2.47 ± 2.455 ± 2.47 ± 2.47		±4.53	± 6.53	±2.99	±2.83	±5.48	± 3.53	± 7.08		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17. Total No. of pods/pl	25.46	28.46	29.01	29.35	26.45	26.23	25.67	8.89	NS
18. No. of pedicels without 10.89 12.78 12.11 7.23 5.84 5.78 7.27 NS ± 3.07 ± 2.83 ± 1.19 ± 3.36 ± 3.61 ± 3.02 ± 2.88 19. 19. Root fresh weight (g/pl) 2.08 2.17 2.54 2.28 2.18 2.10 2.04 0.67 NS ± 0.53 ± 0.01 ± 0.34 ± 0.28 ± 0.55 ± 0.06 ± 0.45 103.00 51.30 NS ± 0.53 ± 0.01 ± 0.34 ± 0.28 ± 0.55 ± 0.06 ± 0.45 103.00 51.30 NS ± 35.69 ± 15.28 ± 11.65 ± 31.87 ± 50.16 ± 17.35 ± 7.55 121. Root dry weight (g/pl) 0.80 0.89 1.03 0.92 0.91 0.80 0.44 NS ± 0.21 ± 0.31 ± 0.12 ± 0.16 ± 0.53 ± 0.19 ± 0.36 122. NS ± 2.47 ± 2.85 ± 13.34 ± 16.39 ± 19.71 ± 9.77 ± 10.21 123. 124. 13.32		± 33.29	±7.60	±7.31	± 2.32	± 2.03	±5.75	± 2.64		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18. No. of pedicels without	10.89	12.78	12.11	7.23	5.84	5.78	5.78	7.27	NS
19. Root fresh weight (g/pl) 2.08 2.17 2.54 2.28 2.18 2.10 2.04 0.67 NS ± 0.53 ± 0.01 ± 0.34 ± 0.28 ± 0.55 ± 0.06 ± 0.45 10 20. Shoot fresh weight (g/pl) 115.78 122.11 123.78 108.89 106.89 103.89 103.00 51.30 NS ± 35.69 ± 15.28 ± 11.65 ± 31.87 ± 50.16 ± 17.35 ± 7.55 10 11 10<		± 3.07	± 2.83	±1.19	± 3.36	±3.61	± 3.02	± 2.88		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19. Root fresh weight (g/pl)	2.08	2.17	2.54	2.28	2.18	2.10	2.04	0.67	NS
20. Shoot fresh weight (g/pl) 115.78 122.11 123.78 108.89 106.89 103.89 103.00 51.30 NS ± 35.69 ± 15.28 ± 11.65 ± 31.87 ± 50.16 ± 17.35 ± 7.55 21. 21. Root dry weight (g/pl) 0.80 0.89 1.03 0.92 0.91 0.80 0.44 NS ± 0.21 ± 0.31 ± 0.12 ± 0.16 ± 0.53 ± 0.19 ± 0.36 22. Shoot dry weight (g/pl) 23.91 24.51 36.61 30.87 28.18 26.96 26.17 20.62 NS ± 2.47 ± 2.85 ± 13.34 ± 16.39 ± 19.71 ± 9.77 ± 10.21 20.62 NS ± 2.47 ± 2.85 ± 11.53 ± 19.86 ± 21.28 ± 3.15 ± 22.55 24. Pod fresh weight (g/pl) 81.48 81.77 82.00 73.33 73.00 68.33 66.33 3.21 NS ± 4.04 ± 6.08 ± 6.35 ± 11.53 ± 19.86 ± 21.28 ± 3.15 ± 22.55 24. Pod dry weight (±0.53	±0.01	±0.34	±0.28	±0.55	±0.06	±0.45		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20. Shoot fresh weight (g/pl)	115.78	122.11	123.78	108.89	106.89	103.89	103.00	51.30	NS
21. Root dry weight (g/pl) 0.80 0.89 1.03 0.92 0.91 0.80 0.44 NS ± 0.21 ± 0.31 ± 0.12 ± 0.16 ± 0.53 ± 0.19 ± 0.36 22. 22. Shoot dry weight (g/pl) 23.91 24.51 36.61 30.87 28.18 26.96 26.17 20.62 NS ± 2.47 ± 2.85 ± 13.34 ± 16.39 ± 19.71 ± 9.77 ± 10.21 20.62 NS 23. Pod fresh weight (g/pl) 81.48 81.77 82.00 73.33 73.00 68.33 66.33 3.21 NS ± 6.08 ± 6.35 ± 11.53 ± 19.86 ± 21.28 ± 3.15 ± 22.55 $= 12.39$ ± 2.47 ± 1.09 ± 1.22 ± 3.78 ± 3.49 ± 0.52 $= 12.55$ $= 13.94$ $= 13.94$ $= 13.94$ $= 13.94$ $= 13.74$ $= 19.02$ $= 13.94$ $= 13.72$ $= 4.28$ NS ± 1.39 ± 2.47 ± 1.09 ± 1.22 ± 3.78 ± 3.49 ± 0.52 $= 12.55$ $= 12.55$ $= 12.55$		±35.69	±15.28	±11.65	±31.87	± 50.16	±17.35	±7.55		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21. Root dry weight (g/pl)	0.80	0.89	1.03	0.92	0.92	0.91	0.80	0.44	NS
22. Shoot dry weight (g/pl) 23.91 24.51 36.61 30.87 28.18 26.96 26.17 20.62 NS ± 2.47 ± 2.85 ± 13.34 ± 16.39 ± 19.71 ± 9.77 ± 10.21 23. Pod fresh weight (g/pl) 81.48 81.77 82.00 73.33 73.00 68.33 66.33 3.21 NS ± 6.08 ± 6.35 ± 11.53 ± 19.86 ± 21.28 ± 3.15 ± 22.55 24. Pod dry weight (g/pl) 10.98 13.16 13.32 13.74 19.02 13.94 13.72 4.28 NS ± 1.39 ± 2.47 ± 1.09 ± 1.22 ± 3.78 ± 3.49 ± 0.52 25. 25. Total fresh biomass (g/pl) 199.34 207.05 208.32 184.50 182.07 174.33 171.38 66.84 NS ± 42.09 ± 19.03 ± 23.49 ± 42.41 ± 69.36 ± 16.59 ± 30.19 26 26 Total dry biomass (g/pl) 35.69 38.56 50.96 45.53 49.11 41.90 40.69 10.95 NS </td <td></td> <td>±0.21</td> <td>±0.31</td> <td>±0.12</td> <td>±0.16</td> <td>±0.53</td> <td>±0.19</td> <td>±0.36</td> <td></td> <td></td>		±0.21	±0.31	±0.12	±0.16	±0.53	±0.19	±0.36		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	22. Shoot dry weight (g/pl)	23.91	24.51	36.61	30.87	28.18	26.96	26.17	20.62	NS
23. Pod fresh weight (g/pl) 81.48 81.77 82.00 73.33 73.00 68.33 66.33 3.21 NS ±6.08 ±6.35 ±11.53 ±19.86 ±21.28 ±3.15 ±22.55 24. Pod dry weight (g/pl) 10.98 13.16 13.32 13.74 19.02 13.94 13.72 4.28 NS ±1.39 ±2.47 ±1.09 ±1.22 ±3.78 ±3.49 ±0.52 25. Total fresh biomass (g/pl) 199.34 207.05 208.32 184.50 182.07 174.33 171.38 66.84 NS ±42.09 ±19.03 ±23.49 ±42.41 ±69.36 ±16.59 ±30.19 26< Total dry biomass (g/pl)		±2.47	± 2.85	±13.34	±16.39	±19.71	±9.77	±10.21		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	23. Pod fresh weight (g/pl)	81.48	81.77	82.00	73.33	73.00	68.33	66.33	3.21	NS
24. Pod dry weight (g/pl) 10.98 13.16 13.32 13.74 19.02 13.94 13.72 4.28 NS ±1.39 ±2.47 ±1.09 ±1.22 ±3.78 ±3.49 ±0.52 10.52 25. Total fresh biomass (g/pl) 199.34 207.05 208.32 184.50 182.07 174.33 171.38 66.84 NS ±42.09 ±19.03 ±23.49 ±42.41 ±69.36 ±16.59 ±30.19 10.85 26 Total dry biomass (g/pl) 35.69 38.56 50.96 45.53 49.11 41.80 40.69 10.85 NS		±6.08	±6.35	±11.53	±19.86	±21.28	±3.15	±22.55		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24. Pod dry weight (g/pl)	10.98	13.16	13.32	13.74	19.02	13.94	13.72	4.28	NS
25. Total fresh biomass (g/pl) 199.34 207.05 208.32 184.50 182.07 174.33 171.38 66.84 NS ±42.09 ±19.03 ±23.49 ±42.41 ±69.36 ±16.59 ±30.19 26 Total dry biomass (g/pl) 35.69 38.56 50.96 45.53 48.11 41.80 40.69 10.95 NS		±1.39	±2.47	±1.09	±1.22	± 3.78	±3.49	± 0.52		
$\frac{\pm 42.09}{26} \frac{\pm 19.03}{25.69} \frac{\pm 23.49}{25.69} \frac{\pm 42.41}{25.69} \frac{\pm 69.36}{25.69} \frac{\pm 16.59}{26.79} \frac{\pm 30.19}{26.79} \frac{\pm 10.85}{26.79} \frac{\pm 10.85}{26$	25. Total fresh biomass (g/pl)	199.34	207.05	208.32	184.50	182.07	174.33	171.38	66.84	NS
26 Total dry biomass (π/pl) 35 69 38 56 50 96 45 53 48 11 41 80 40 69 19 95 NS		±42.09	±19.03	±23.49	±42.41	±69.36	±16.59	±30.19		
20. 10. ar ar y bromass (g/pr) - 55.05 - 50.50 - 40.70 - 40.11 - 41.00 - 40.00 - 19.05 - 18	26. Total dry biomass (g/pl)	35.69	38.56	50.96	45.53	48.11	41.80	40.68	19.85	NS
± 3.26 ± 2.71 ± 12.91 ± 15.76 ± 19.99 ± 9.64 ± 9.89		±3.26	± 2.71	±12.91	±15.76	±19.99	±9.64	±9.89		

Table	e.3 Effect of	UV-C	' irradiation	seed	treatment	on	seedling	growth	(60)	DAS) of	ground	inut
-------	---------------	------	---------------	------	-----------	----	----------	--------	------	-----	------	--------	------

Values are mean of three replications; ± -Standard Deviation @ -One way ANOVA **-Significance at 1% level NS –Non-significance

Table.4 Effect of UV-C irradiation	seed treatment on seedling	growth (90 DAS) of groundnut
------------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------------

	Treatments							CD	F-
Seedling growth parameters	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅	T ₆	T ₇	(P=0.05)	value@
1. Root Length (cm/pl)	13.63	15.27	16.17	16.33	16.33	16.87	17.63	3.70	NS
	±3.16	±3.21	±1.01	±2.04	±2.77	±1.71	±0.55		
2. Shoot length (cm/pl)	63.95	66.48	68.33	70.67	72.35	74.00	74.83	12.09	NS
	±10.40	±1.41	±4.25	±5.35	±6.48	±9.50	±0.58		
3. Total length (cm/pl)	77.58	81.75	83.50	87.00	88.68	90.87	92.47	11.82	NS
	±8.45	± 0.50	±3.54	±4.52	± 8.78	±9.82	±0.03		
4. Root/shoot ratio	0.22	0.23	2.24	0.24	0.23	0.23	0.24	0.10	NS
	±0.08	±0.03	±0.03	±0.04	±0.04	±0.04	±0.01		
5 No of branches/pl	5 17	5 17	5.17	6.00	5.83	5.83	5 67	1 38	NS
c. i to of branches, pi	+0.76	+0.29	+1.53	+0.50	+0.29	+0.29	+1 53	1.00	115
6 No of root nodules/pl	74.00	77.50	83.00	95.10	89.17	89.17	88.00	42 47	NS
o. no or root notures, pr	+6.95	+21.34	+10.83	+43.34	+10.2	+35.16	+10.18		145
7 Number of leaves/pl	£0.33	127.00	121.03	125 22	157.00	169.92	200.93	70.25	NC
7. Number of leaves/pi	+12.26	+42.54	+2.05	135.33	+20.00	100.05	200.85	19.25	115
8 I fl-t l-u -th (/l fl-t)	±13.30	±42.54	±3.05	±43.57	±30.00	±80.04	±7.22	0.63	NC
8. Leaflet length (cm/leaflet)	6.43	6.48	0.52	6.72	0.57	6.49	6.25	0.62	NS
	±0.17	±0.25	±0.21	±0.43	±0.31	±0.48	±0.42		
9. Leaflet breadth (cm/leaflet)	2.82	2.84	3.07	3.26	3.16	3.15	2.86	1.07	NS
	±0.24	± 0.24	±0.09	±0.48	± 0.14	± 0.18	± 0.37		
10. Leaflet Area Index	12.52	12.70	13.81	15.08	14.37	14.13	12.42	3.02	NS
	±1.06	±1.31	±0.74	±1.99	±1.77	±2.31	±2.36		
11. Leaf area index (cm ² /pl)	4187.00	6391.00	7287.00	7975.00	9102.00	9180.00	9947.00	3866.91	NS
	±736	± 2022	±558	±1677	± 2318	± 3603	±1699		
12. Seedling vigour index	4727.00	5000.00	5278.00	5710.00	6190.00	6556.00	7705.00	1864.69	NS
	±822	±1166	±655	±743	±356	±1285	±1541		
13. Seedling tolerance index	1.00	1.17	1.22	1.60	1.60	1.29	1.33	0.28	NS
0	±0.00	±0.33	±0.19	±0.39	±0.45	±0.38	±0.27		
14. No. of flowers/pl	6.33	6.33	6.33	7.00	7.67	7.33	6.33	5.52	NS
F	±1.53	±4.04	±4.93	±3.46	±2.52	± 2.08	±1.15		
15. No. of pedicle without	44.33	45.33	50.67	54.33	89.33	73.67	70.00	34.00	NS
1	+18 56	+9.45	+15.82	+12.50	+15.69	+34.03	+18.68	2	1.12
16 No. of mature pods/pl	40.00	40.67	43.67	46.00	47.67	48.00	61.00	13 50	NS
10. No. of mature pous/pr	+7.00	+0.07	+10.60	+6.03	+0.20	+9.66	+9.54	15.50	145
17 No. of immeture nods/nl	6 33	6.67	7.00	7.67	12.67	0.66	6 33	5 46	NS
17. No. of miniature pous/pr	1 15	+3.06	+4.00	+2.52	12.07	+2 79	+1 52	3.40	113
10 T-4-1	16.22	±3.00	±4.00	12.52	±2.69	13.78	±1.55	10.54	4
18. Total no. of pods/pl	46.55	47.55	50.67	55.67	60.55	57.67	67.55	12.74	^
	±5.86	±9.07	±10.12	±7.09	±11.23	±7.02	±9.9		
19. Root fresh weight (g/pl)	2.31	3.01	3.41	3.29	3.29	3.57	4.50	1.77	NS
	± 0.76	± 0.68	±0.29	±1.15	±1.05	±1.53	±1.00		
20. Shoot fresh weight (g/pl)	203.20	237.50	242.50	265.00	301.17	309.17	315.83	76.30	**
	±15.76	± 7.50	±59.10	±63.09	±40.73	±16.64	±9.46		
21. Root dry weight (g/pl)	1.12	1.16	1.26	1.28	1.10	1.06	1.03	0.77	NS
	±0.27	± 0.54	± 0.17	±0.35	±0.45	± 0.77	± 0.02		
22. Shoot dry weight (g/pl)	52.60	54.46	61.11	61.63	72.25	75.24	75.95	25.25	NS
	±6.73	± 4.22	±9.40	±7.59	±18.19	± 27.40	±12.31		
23. Pod fresh weight (g/fruit)	126.00	128.33	134.33	185.33	175.67	160.34	156.67	62.61	NS
	± 27.22	±17.56	± 26.00	± 63.31	±27.23	±33.66	±53.93		
24. Pod dry weight (g/fruit)	70.00	70.67	73.33	88.67	80.00	79.67	75.67	29.90	NS
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	±8.89	±12.74	±4.72	±29.48	± 20.07	±7.77	±23.44		
25. Total fresh weight (g/nl)	331 51	368.85	380.24	451 62	480 12	473.07	477.00	92.52	*
rotar nesa weight (g/pi)	+20.04	+22.36	+84 73	+123.91	+52 34	+35 51	+47.64		
26 Total day weight (g/m)	123 72	126.30	135 70	151 57	153.04	155.07	152 65	34 52	NC
20. 10tal dry weight (g/pl)	123.72	120.29	133.70	151.5/	155.28	155.97	152.05	34.52	112
27 Dur maight - £100 1	±4.27	±14.67	±13.96	±3/.08	±20.19	±29.23	±12.67	1 - 2 -	NG
27. Dry weight of 100 pod with	95.00	97.00	93.67	98.67	98.00	92.00	89.00	15.37	NS
	±11.79	±5.57	±6.81	±4.16	± 12.12	±7.94	±7.55		
28. Dry weight of 100 seeds	36.00	36.67	36.67	41.00	40.67	36.00	35.00	7.58	NS
	±4.36	± 4.04	± 2.52	±5.29	±6.43	± 1.73	± 5.00		

@-One way ANOVA; NS –Non-significance; Values are mean of three replications; */** -Significance at 5% and 1% level, respectively

Parameters	Growth Period		Treatments							Treatments CD				Treatments CD			
	(days)	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	(P=0.05)	value [@]							
1. AGR – Absolute	30-60	1.25	1.27	2.20	1.68	1.55	1.34	1.30	0.75	NS							
Growth Rate (g/day)		±0.09	±0.08	±0.66	±0.42	±0.65	±0.32	±0.34									
	60-90	2.82	2.89	3.16	3.56	3.64	3.80	3.73	1.57	NS							
		±0.22	±0.50	±0.30	±1.71	±1.10	±1.24	±0.73									
2. RGR – Relative	30-60	2.90	2.93	5.07	3.65	3.57	3.08	2.98	1.67	NS							
Growth Rate (g/day)		±0.24	±0.21	±1.53	±0.99	±1.50	±0.73	±0.79									
	60-90	6.50	6.65	6.84	7.99	8.07	8.76	8.59	3.70	NS							
		±0.51	±1.16	±1.42	±3.98	±2.98	±2.84	±1.68									
3. NAR –Net	30-60	2.88	2.91	5.07	3.65	3.56	3.08	2.98	1.70	NS							
Rate (g/m ² /day)		±0.21	±0.19	±1.53	±0.99	±1.51	±0.72	±0.79									
	60-90	6.50	6.65	6.83	8.19	8.24	8.76	8.59	3.65	NS							
		±0.51	±1.16	±1.41	±3.94	±2.99	±2.84	±1.68									

Table.5 Effect of UV-C irradiation seed treatment on AGR, RGR and NAR of groundnut seedlings

@ -One way ANOVA; NS –Non-significance; Values are mean of three replications;

Table.6 Effect of UV-C irradiation seed treatment on biomass production and pod yield (90DAS) of groundnut seedlings

Turneturent	Productivity (kg/hectare)								
Treatment	Vegetative Biomass	Pod yield							
T1	8236 ±1383	2143 ± 1060							
T2	9330 ±1710	2150 ± 0926							
Т3	9640 ± 3707	2177 ± 1671							
T4	9727 ± 3781	2533 ± 1837							
Т5	12493 ± 1470	2623 ± 1603							
Т6	13140 ± 4829	2720 ± 2572							
Т7	15483 ± 0707	3393 ±3403							
CD (P=0.05)	4089.55	1615.21							
F-value [@]	*	NS							





Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci (2015) 4(8): 430-443









Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci (2015) 4(8): 430-443







T6

T6

T7

T7





439





In general, UV-C irradiation seed treatment progressively increased the fresh vegetative biomass production and pod yield at all treatments as compared to control (Table 6). Maximum increase of fresh vegetative biomass (15483kg/hectare) and pod yield (3393kg/hectare) was recorded in 60min exposed seeds to UV-C irradiation.

The seedling vigour index of groundnut was

more in UV-C irradiated water soaked seeds as compared to dry groundnut seeds (Fig. 25). UV-C irradiation generally promoted the SVI of groundnut at all sampling days as compared to controls (Table 2 to 4; Fig. 25). The tolerance index of groundnut seedlings towards the UV-C treatment was increased at all sampling days than dry and soaked seed controls (Table 2 & 4; Fig. 26).

The seedling growth rates -absolute growth rate (AGR), relative growth rate (RGR) and net assimilation rate (NAR) of groundnut were estimated and the UV-C treatment showed initial increase of AGR, RGR and NAR followed by a reduction between 30-60 days period of growth (Table 5; Fig. 27) as compared to controls. On the other hand, between 60-90 days, UV-C irradiation seed treatment showed promotery effect on AGR, RGR and NAR as compared to controls.

Many reports indicate that UV rays results damage in plants and produced alterations in growth, development and morphology (Strid et al., 1997; Flint et al., 2003; Rathore et al., 2003) while Ambaru Purna Sudha Bindhu and Kakoli Das Sharma (2004) reported an increase in the seed germination in UV-A irradiated Capsicum annum, Linn and Anum Siddiqui et al. (2011) reported that groundnut seedlings showed increment in shoot weight, root length and root weight, leaf area and number of nodules when seeds of groundnut were treated with UV-C for 10, 15, 30 and 60min period as observes in the present study which shows an increase in seed germination, seedling growth and productivity of groundnut with increasing exposure period up to 60min of UV-C irradiation on water soaked seeds as compared to control. Many researchers observed that pre-sowing treatment of seeds with UV was effectively used to increase crop productivity (Jdanova, 1962; Dubrov, 1977; Ghallab and Omar, 1998; Shiozaki et al., 1999).

Thus, UV-C irradiated water soaked groundnut seeds, generally, showed an increase of seed germination and all seedling growth parameters with increasing period of UV-C exposure up to 60min as compared to dry and soaked seed controls at all sampling days (30, 60 and 90th days).

Acknowledgement

The authors express sincere thanks to the Management Authorities, Principal. S.T. Hindu College, and HOD, Department of Botany & Research Centre, S. T. Hindu College, Nagercoil, Kanyakumari District, Tamil Nadu, India for providing necessary facilities and encouragement.

References

- Abdul-Baki, A.S., Anderson, J.O. 1973. Vigour determination in soybean seed by multiple criteria. *Crop Sci.*, 13: 630–633.
- Ambaru Purna Sudha Bindhu, M.S., Kakoli Das Sharma, 2004. Effect of ultraviolet radiation on *Capsium annum*, Linn. mutation research, *Agrobios. News Lett.*, 2(9): 23–24.
- Anum Siddiqui, Shahnaz Dawae, Javed Zaki, M., Neelofar Hamid, 2011. Role of ultraviolet (UV-C) radiation in the control of root infecting fungi on groundnut and mung bean. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 43(4): 2221–2224.
- Arvind Kumar, Purohit, S.S. 1998. Plant physiology: fundamentals and applications, Vol. 668. Agrobios (India), Agro House. Pp. 670–671.
- Barnes, P.W., Flint, S.D., Caldwell, M.M. 1990. Morphological responses of crop and weed species of different growth forms to Ultraviolet-B radiation. *Am. J. Bot.*, 77: 1354–1360.

- Bjorn, L.O. 1996. Effects of ozone depletion and increased UV-B on terrestrial ecosystems. *Int. J. Environ.*, 23: 555– 561.
- Briggs, G.E., Kidd, F., West, 1920. Quantitative analysis of growth. *Ann. Appl. Biol.*, 7: 103–202.
- Caldwell, M.M., Bjorn, L.O., Bornman, J.F., Flint, S.D., Kulandaivelu, G., Teramura, A.H., Tevini, M. 1998. Effects of increased solar ultraviolet radiation on terrestrial ecosystems. J. Photochem. Photobiol., 46: 40–52.
- Caldwell, M.M., Flint, S.D. 1994. Stratospheric ozone reduction, solar UV-B radiation and terrestrial ecosystems. *Climatic Change*, 28: 375–394.
- Conger, B.V., Nilan, R.A., Konzak, C.E. 1968. Post irradiation oxygen sensitivity of barley seeds varying slightly in water content. *Radiat. Bot.*, 8: 31–36.
- Corlett, J.E., Stephen, J., Jones, H.F., Woodfin, R., Mepsted, R., Paul, N.D. 1997. Assessing the impact of UV-B radiation on the growth and yield of field crops. In: Lumsden, P. (Ed.), Plants and UV-B responses to environmental change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. Pp. 195–211.
- Correia, C.M., Areal, E.L.V., Torres-Pereira, M.S., Torres-Pereira, J.M.G. 1998. Intraspecific variation in sensitivity to Ultraviolet-B radiation in maize grown under filed conditions. I. Growth and Morphological aspects. *Field Crops Res.*, 59: 81–89.
- Correia, C.M., Areal, E.L.V., Torres-Pereira, M.S., Torres-Pereira, J.M.G. 1999. Intraspecific variation is sensitivity of Ultraviolet-B radiation in maize grown under field conditions. II. physiological and biochemical aspects. *Field Crops Res.*, 62: 97–105.

- Day, T.A. 1993. Relating UV-B radiation screening effectiveness of foliage to absorbing composed concentration and anatomical characteristics in a diverse group of plants. *Oecologia*, 95: 542–550.
- Dubrov, A.P. 1977. Physiological and biophysical study of ultraviolet irradiation influence on plants on early stages of development. Ph.D. Thesis. Leningrad.
- Ehrenberg, A. 1961. Research on free radicals in enzyme chemistry and irradiation biology. In: Free radicals in biological system. Academic Press, New York. Pp. 337–350.
- Flint, S.D., Ryel, R.J., Caldwell, M.M. 2003. Ecosystem UV-B experiments in terrestrial communities: a review of recent findings and methodologies. *Agr. Forest Meteoral.*, 120: 177–189.
- Ghallab, A.M., Omar, M.M. 1998. Wheat productivity as affected by seed irradiation with laser rays. *Ann. Agricult. Sci. Moshtohor*, 36(1): 261– 274.
- Greenberg, B.M., Wilson, M.I., Huang, X.D., Duxbury, C.L., Gerhaddt, K.E., Gensemer, R.W. 1997. The effects of ultraviolet-B radiation on higher plants. In: Wang, W., Goursuch, J., Hughes, J.S. (Eds.) Plants for environmental studies. CRS Press, Boca Ration, FI. Pp. 1–35.
- Gregory, F.C. 1926. The effect of climatic condition on the growth of barley. *Ann. Bot.*, 40(1): 26–28.
- Jdanova, E.B. 1962. About ultraviolet irradiation influence on germination and growth of rye. Kagan VE, Fabisiak Japan. *Proc. Agricult. Acad.*, 77: 451–455.
- Kalra, G.S., Dhiman, S.D. 1977. Determination of Leaf Area of Wheat plants by a rapid method. *J. Indian Bot. Sci.*, 56: 261–264.

- Mc Leod, A.R., Newsham, K.K. 1997. Impacts of elevated UV-B on forest ecosystem. In: Lumsden, P. (Ed.), Plants and UV-B responses to environmental change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. Pp. 247–281.
- Rathore, D., Agarwal, S.B., Singh, A. 2003.
 Influence of supplemental UV-B radiation and mineral nutrients on biomass, pigments and yield of two cultivars of wheat *Triticum aestivum* L. *Int. J. Biotronics*, 32: 1–15.
- Redford, 1967. Physiological analysis of growth and yield of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* (L) Millsp). *Ad. Plant Sci.*, 21(II): 553–556.
- Rozema J.V., Bjorn, L.O., Caldwell, M.M. 1977. UV-B as an environmental factor in plant life: stress and regulation. *Trends Ecol. Evolut.*, 12: 22–28.
- Shiozaki, N., Hattori, I., Tezuka, T. 1999. Activation of growth nodulation in a symbiotic system between pea plants and leguminous bacteria by near UV radiations. J. Phytochem. Photobiol. B: Biol., 50: 33–37.
- Strid, A., Chow, W.S., Anderson, J.M. 1997. UV-B damage and protection at the molecular level in plant. *Photosynth. Res.*, 39: 475–489.
- Turner, R.C., Marshal, 1972. Accumulation of zinc by sub cellular fraction of root growth Agrostis tenuis in relation of zinc tolerance. New Phytol., 71: 671– 676.
- Ziska, L.H., Termura, A.H., Sullivan, J. 1992. Physiological sensitivity of plants along on elevations gradient to UV-B radiation. *Am. J. Bot.*, 79: 863– 871.